OTM-041: Vegetation encroachment on O&G asset

Vegetation encroachment on O&G asset

Challenge

	Challenge ID	OTM:041
1	Title	Vegetation encroachment on O&G assets
2	Theme ID	ON 5.4: Logistics planning and operations - Monitoring of assets
3	Originator of Challenge	Onshore: OTM
4	Challenge Reviewer / initiator	Ramani
	General description	Overview of Challenge
5	What is the nature of the challenge? (What is not adequately addressed at present?)	Vegetation encroachment on assets can reduce access and/or damage the integrity of structures
6	Thematic information requirements	3. Obtain detailed vegetation information, 4. Obtain detailed land-use information,
7	Nature of the challenge - What effect does this challenge have on operations?	Remedial works can be required to regain access or operation of the asset. This can be time consuming and costly. One way of managing this could be to monitor our assets using aerial imagery and target the use of our ground staff to mitigate against thi
8	What do you currently do to address this challenge?/ How is this challenge conventionally addressed?	Updated mapping, if it is produced. We also rely on asset owners to keep records of changes around the assets themselves. However, for smaller assets this detail is often lost.
9	What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?	Very high to medium resolution EO data to map changes of the environment and ecosystem.
10	What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?	
	Challenge classification	
11	Lifecycle stage	Pre license Exp. Dev. Prod. Decom.
	Score from impact quantification [1]	0 0 1 4 2
12	Climate classification	NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC
13	Geographic context/restrictions	Generic onshore (Unspecified)
14	Topographic classification / Offshore classification	Generic onshore (Unspecified)
15	Seasonal variations	Any season
16	Impact Area	operational cost reduction
17	Technology Urgency	Immediately (0-2 years)
	(How quickly does the user need the solution)	
	Information requirements	
18	Update frequency	depending on sensor and application
19	Data Currently used	
20	Spatial resolution	
21	Thematic accuracy	80-90%
22	Example formats	Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)
22		
23	Timeliness	within six months
24	Timeliness Geographic Extent	within six months reservoir footprint

[1] Impact quantification scores: 4 - Critical/enabling; 3 - Significant/competitive advantage; 2 - Important but non-essential; 1 - Nice to have; 0 - No impact, need satisfied with existing technology

Content by label

There is no content with the specified labels