

C-CORE 1.2 Historic records for waves

Historic records for waves

Challenge

Challenge ID	C-CORE_OFF1.2
Title	Historic records for waves
Challenge originator: interviewed company	
General Description	
What data/products do you use?	<p>Historical wind wave heights, swell wave heights, and significant wave heights are available in the form of surface-based observations, as reported from ships and buoys, via ICOADS and NOAA (NDBC).</p> <p>Historical remotely-sensed satellite observations of significant wave heights are available via DEOS-RADS, as well as other agencies such as IFREMER (NAIAD).</p> <p>Historical hindcasts of reanalyzed significant wave heights are available via ECMWF (ERA-Interim) and NOAA (WAVEWATCH III).</p> <p>Historical 0-hour global and regional model analyses of significant wave heights are available via many global agencies such as NOAA, ECMWF, CMC, JMA, UKMET, and others.</p>
When do you use this kind of dataset?	<p>Historical wavedata (Hs, Tp, Tz etc.) are used in all phases throughout the O&G cycle, except strictly operational tasks.</p> <p>The data set is used to assist in qualifying and quantifying the qualifying and quantifying the means and extremes of waves in the area of interest, but also to identify frequency of occurrence of wave heights/periods and weather window (where operational thresholds apply).</p> <p>During the early stages, field assessment/seismics etc. the data are mostly used to assess the operability of the area during different seasons and to assess financial risk and first draft of development costs.</p> <p>During planning of new fields and operations data are used to assess the climatic limitations local/regional weather would have on safety in order to reduce risk for operations, personnel and environment.</p> <p>Finally wave data are important to design of structures that can withstand the local conditions and take into account the risk of the extreme situations of the area.</p>

<p>What are your actual limitations and do you have a work around?</p>	<p>For more superficial analysis, first looks etc. an estimate is often sufficient and the quality of the data set is not as critical as for design studies. The latter requires long time series (for instance preferably more than 1/4 of the extreme calculated - i.e. 25 yrs for 100 year extreme) and high resolution in time and space in order to catch vigorous short-lived and small scale local phenomena. Data of sufficient quality can be hard to come by in coastal and remote/less developed areas and rougher modeled data, estimates and approximations will be used. Sometimes calibration of modeled data to shorter observed time series has to be made.</p> <p>There are important spatial and temporal limitations of historical surface-based and remotely-sensed wave height observations that make the analyses of extreme values very challenging (e.g., most extremes at sea were probably not observed or otherwise sampled).</p> <p>Update frequency: To slow in some cases, some data sources do not extend to near real time (1 month or more lag), and "patching" with any available observations is necessary.</p> <p>Temporal resolution: 3 and 6 hours are too infrequent and do not catch short lived extremes, and approximations have to be made.</p> <p>Spatial resolution: Too large outside well know areas (and large even there). Does not catch small scale and local (bathymetry induced) phenomena. Should be 4 km minimum.</p> <p>NOTE. High resolution and high update resolution does not equal quality, hence documentation of verification is equally important!!</p>
<p>Needs and expectations on EO data</p>	<p>EO is used for this today, but resolution, update frequency, length of data series and quality is not sufficient for instance design studies. It is used as input in regional reanalysis and hindcast.</p> <p>Long (10 years +) observed time series of high quality (ground-truthed) and with high spatial resolution, especially for coastal areas and emerging O&G areas where demand for such data has been small or non-existent.</p> <p>EO data that can improve modeled re-analyses.</p> <p>Example: Long time series of significant wave heights and all other wave spectra data.</p>
<p>Challenge classification</p>	
<p>Pre license</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Exp.</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>Dev.</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>Prod.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Decom.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Geographic context/ restrictions</p>	<p>Applies to all six areas of interest, except for the cautionary notes about tropical cyclones, which only applies to South China Sea, West of Ireland, and Myanmar.</p> <p>Seasonality: Applies to all seasons.</p>
<p>Topographic classification / Offshore classification</p>	<p>Ocean</p>
<p>Activity impacted /concerned</p>	

Technology Urgency	Short term (2-5 years)
Information requirements	
Update frequency	<p>Daily or weekly, since historical data are often needed to assess recent events. For some parameters and data sets, monthly is still sufficient.</p> <p>Available today: For the historical re-analyses and archived observations, monthly; however, recent observations are available generally without delay.</p>
Temporal resolution	<p>Data should be at least 1-3 hourly depending on area and phenomena needed to be resolved.</p> <p>Available today: ERA-Interim: 6-hourly WaveWatch III: 3 hourly Surface-bases observation: sub-hourly to less frequently Remotely-sensed satellite observation: sub-daily to less frequently</p>
Spatial resolution	<p>Around 4 km, maybe less in coastal areas.</p> <p>Available: ERA-Interim: 0.75° WaveWatch III: 4 arc-mins, 10 arc-mins, and/or 30 arc-mins, depending on area Surface-bases observation: varies based on the locations of the ship/buoy observations Remotely-sensed satellite observation: varies based on platform scanning swath size and other parameters</p>
Data quality	<p>The selected sources in this document are selected because they are known to have sufficient quality (after some work-around/adaptations). In general separate in-depth verification studies has to be made for each source planned to be used for analysis, and the analysis has to be repeated for each geographical area (since sources might be of sufficient quality in one area but not another).</p> <p>In general, ground observations and modelled sourced are perceived to be of better quality than EO for historical wave data.</p>
Data Coverage and extent	Regional.
Example format	<p>ERA-Interim: grib and netCDF WaveWatch III: grib and/or grib2 Surface-bases observation: text, CSV and/or netCDF Remotely-sensed satellite observation: text</p>
Timeliness	Normally needed urgently, possibly before assessing, planning, or exploring a new field. Hence the data source used for analysis needs to be frequently updated to avoid unnecessary waiting. Daily, weekly or monthly updates of data sets are sufficient, depending on the analysis required.
Existing standards	Multiple paragraphs in DNV-RP-C205, OTO 2001/010, ISO-19001-1, NORSOK-N-003e2, NORSOK-N-006u1, and DNV-OS-J001 contain extensive references to the standard measures of the means and extremes of waves, including the recommended approximations and calculations of return periods and probabilities of exceedance. The DNV series acknowledges that the procedures may not be applicable beyond the area of interest that it was tailored for.

Relevant products

Content by label

There is no content with the specified labels