## OTM-030: Ecosystem valuation of potential site

## Ecosystem valuation of potential site

## Challenge

Title		Challenge ID	OTM:030					
ON. 4.1: Environmental monitoring - Baseline historic mapping of environment and ecosystems   Onshore: OTM	1							
Onshore: OTM   PEMEX, Statoll, Eni, Sasol, Tullow, Petronas, Chevron   General description   Overview of Challeage   Onshore: OTM   PEMEX, Statoll, Eni, Sasol, Tullow, Petronas, Chevron   Overview of Challeage   Onshore: OTM   PEMEX, Statoll, Eni, Sasol, Tullow, Petronas, Chevron   Overview of Challeage   Onshore: OTM   PEMEX, Statoll, Eni, Sasol, Tullow, Petronas, Chevron   Overview of Challeage   Onshore: OTM   Onshore: OTM   PEMEX, Statoll, Eni, Sasol, Tullow, Petronas, Chevron   Overview of Challeage   Onshore: OTM   On	2	Theme ID	ON 4.1: Environmental monitoring - Baseline historic mapping of					
Challenge Reviewer/initiator   Challenge	3	Originator of Challenge		•				
General description  What is the nature of the challenge? (What is not adequately addressed at present?)  Thematic information requirements  Thematic information does not have to be extremely detailed please at a high level.  Thematic information information information, 6. Identify information information, 6. Identify information inform		•						
adequately addressed at present?)  the ecosystem value of possible development sites.  At this stage, the information does not have to be extremely detailed because we are looking over large areas at a high level.  Thematic information requirements  3. Obtain detailed vegetation information, 4. Obtain detailed land-use information, 6. Identify inland water bodies and determine water quality, 10. Fauna and presence and patterns,  Having this information allows us to develop a monetary value for the cost of ecosystem loss (as a result of operations) and a timescale for recovery following decommissioning. It is helpful if we can get this information quickly for large and remote a On-the-ground surveys  What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  11. Lifecycle stage  Score from impact quantification [1]  Challenge classification  12. Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Technology Urgency  (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information at present value for heaving area at a high level.  At this stage, the information of heaving and presence and patterns.  A this stage, the information information, 4. Obtain detailed land-use information for ecosystem loss and determine water quality, 10. Fauna and presence and patterns.  A time from the color of particular for ecosystem loss (as a result of operations) and a timescale for recovery following decommissioning. It is helpful if we can get this information quickly for large and remote a constant of patterns.  B. Update frequency  depending on sensor and application  Data Currently used  Spatial resolution  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Thematic accuracy  Secondary for the color of patterns, and determine water duality. Informatic accuracy  Secondary for the color of patterns, areas								
we are looking over large areas at a high level.  Thematic information requirements  3. Obtain detailed vegetation information. 4. Obtain detailed land-use information. 5. Identify inland water bodies and determine water quality, 10. Fauna and presence and patterns.  Thematic information event bodies and determine water quality, 10. Fauna and presence and patterns.  The water of the challenge - What effect does this challenge have on operations?  What do you currently do to address this challenge?  What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?  What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  The challenge classification  Challenge classification  Challenge classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC Geographic classification / Offshore classification  Geographic classification / Offshore classification  Roy CLIMATE SPECIFIC Geographic classification / Offshore classification  Information requirements  Lipdate frequency  Dyadate frequency  Dyadate frequency  Dyadate frequency  Spatial resolution  Lipdate frequency  Spatial resolution  Lipdate frequency  Spatial resolution  Sundardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Thematic accuracy  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)	5	Č ,						
information, 6. Identify inland water bodies and determine water quality, 10. Fauna and presence and patterns, the challenge have on operations?  Nature of the challenge - What effect does this challenge have on operations?  What do you currently do to address this challenge?/ How is this challenge conventionally addressed?  What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  I Lifeyels tage Score from impact quantification [1]  Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Any season  Immediately (0-2 years)  Update frequency  (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  Lifeyels ground surveys  Having this information allows us to develop a monetary value for the cost of ecceystem loss (as a result of operations) and a timescale for recovery following decommissioning.  It is helpful if we can get this information quickly for large and remote a  On-the-ground surveys  Medium resolution land cover products based on EO data  Hedium resolution land cover products based on EO data  EO could be a useful complimentary technology e.g. through estimation of biomass (leaf area index, etc.).  Dev. Prod. Decom.  Score from impact quantification [1]  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Any season  Immediately (0-2 years)  Update frequency  depending on sensor and application  Journal of the cost of eccysterion of the cost of e			•					
challenge have on operations?  cosystem loss (as a result of operations) and a timescale for recovery following decommissioning. It is helpful if we can get this information quickly for large and remote a On-the-ground surveys  How is this challenge conventionally addressed?  What kind of solution do you envisage could addressed this challenge?  What kind of solution do you envisage could addressed this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  It ifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1]  Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Geographic context/restrictions Geographic context/restrictions Any season  Information requirements  How a time state of the context of the contex	6	Thematic information requirements	information, 6. Identify inland water bodies and determine water					
8 What do you currently do to address this challenge?/ How is this challenge conventionally addressed? 9 What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge? 10 What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If 100t, why not?  Challenge classification 11 Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1] 4 2 2 0 0 0 0 0  12 Climate classification 13 Geographic context/restrictions Generic onshore (Unspecified) 14 Topographic classification Offshore classification Generic onshore (Unspecified) 15 Seasonal variations Any season 16 Impact Area Environmental 17 Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution) 18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application 19 Data Currently used 20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 22 Example formats 3 Geographic Extent 4 On-the-ground surveys 4 Medium resolution land cover products based on EO data 4 Update a useful complimentary technology e.g. through estimation of biomass (leaf area index, etc.), 5 Dev. Prod. Decom. 6 On O  Committee Exp. Dev. Prod. Decom. 9 On O  Committee Cunspecified 9 Ceneric onshore (Unspecified) 9 Ceneric onshore (Unspecified) 9 Impact Area Environmental 9 Immediately (0-2 years) 9 Data Currently used 9 Spatial resolution 9 Data Currently used 9 Spatial resolution 9 Data Currently used 9 Spatial resolution 9 Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) 9 Timeliness 9 Geographic Extent	7		ecosystem loss (as a result of operations) and a timescale for recovery					
How is this challenge conventionally addressed?  What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  Il Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1]  Climate classification  Pre license Exp. Dev. Prod. Decom.  Score from impact quantification [1]  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Impact Area Environmental  Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application  19 Data Currently used  Sographic formats  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)			It is helpful if we can get this information quickly for large and remote a					
this challenge?  What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1]  Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC  Geographic context/restrictions Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Seasonal variations Any season  Environmental  Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  Update frequency Spatial resolution Spatial resolution  Thematic accuracy Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Environments  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)	8		On-the-ground surveys					
meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?  Challenge classification  Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1]  Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC Geographic context/restrictions Generic onshore (Unspecified)  Topographic classification / Offshore classification Seasonal variations Impact Area Environmental Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  Update frequency Spatial resolution Data Currently used Spatial resolution Thematic accuracy Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)	9	•	Medium resolution land cover products based on EO data					
Challenge classification  11 Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1] 4 2 0 0 0 0  12 Climate classification NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC 13 Geographic context/restrictions Generic onshore (Unspecified) 14 Topographic classification / Offshore classification 15 Seasonal variations Any season 16 Impact Area Environmental 17 Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application 19 Data Currently used 20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 80-90% 22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent	10	meet this need? - are you currently using EO tech? If						
11 Lifecycle stage Score from impact quantification [1]  12 Climate classification NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC 13 Geographic context/restrictions Generic onshore (Unspecified) 14 Topographic classification / Offshore classification Seasonal variations Impact Area Environmental Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution) Information requirements  18 Update frequency Data Currently used 20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Score from impact quantification [1]  4 2 0 0 0  12 Climate classification  NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC  13 Geographic context/restrictions  Generic onshore (Unspecified)  14 Topographic classification / Offshore classification  15 Seasonal variations  Impact Area  Environmental  17 Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency  Data Currently used  20 Spatial resolution  11 Thematic accuracy  Spatial resolution  21 Thematic accuracy  Example formats  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Timeliness  24 Geographic Extent	11		Pre license	Exp.	Dev.	Prod.	Decom.	
Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Any season In Impact Area Environmental Impact Area Environmental Impect Area Information requirements  Update frequency Data Currently used Spatial resolution Thematic accuracy Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) Timeliness Generic onshore (Unspecified) Gener		•	4	•	0	0	0	
Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Any season In Impact Area Environmental Impact Area Environmental Impect Area Information requirements  Update frequency Data Currently used Spatial resolution Thematic accuracy Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) Timeliness Generic onshore (Unspecified) Gener								
Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Generic onshore (Unspecified) Any season In Impact Area Environmental Impact Area Environmental Impect Area Information requirements  Update frequency Data Currently used Spatial resolution Thematic accuracy Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) Timeliness Generic onshore (Unspecified) Gener	12	Climate classification	NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC					
Topographic classification / Offshore classification Seasonal variations Any season  Impact Area Environmental Impact Area Environmental Immediately (0-2 years)  How quickly does the user need the solution  Information requirements  Update frequency Data Currently used Spatial resolution  Thematic accuracy Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  Timeliness  Generic onshore (Unspecified) Any season  Environmental Immediately (0-2 years)  depending on sensor and application  Spatial resolution  Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)	13	Geographic context/restrictions	Generic onshore (Unspecified)					
16 Impact Area Environmental 17 Technology Urgency Immediately (0-2 years)  (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application 19 Data Currently used 20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 80-90% 22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent	14							
Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application  19 Data Currently used  20 Spatial resolution  21 Thematic accuracy 80-90%  22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  23 Timeliness  24 Geographic Extent	15	Seasonal variations	Any season					
(How quickly does the user need the solution)  Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application  19 Data Currently used  20 Spatial resolution  21 Thematic accuracy 80-90%  22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  23 Timeliness  24 Geographic Extent	16	Impact Area	Environmental					
Information requirements  18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application  19 Data Currently used  20 Spatial resolution  21 Thematic accuracy 80-90%  22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  23 Timeliness  24 Geographic Extent	17	Technology Urgency	Immediately (0-2 years)					
18 Update frequency depending on sensor and application  19 Data Currently used  20 Spatial resolution  21 Thematic accuracy 80-90%  22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)  23 Timeliness  24 Geographic Extent		(How quickly does the user need the solution)						
19 Data Currently used 20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 22 Example formats 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent  80-90% Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)		Information requirements						
20 Spatial resolution 21 Thematic accuracy 22 Example formats 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent 20 Spatial resolution 21 80-90% 22 Example formats 23 Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)	18	Update frequency	depending on sensor and application					
21 Thematic accuracy 80-90% 22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent	19	Data Currently used						
22 Example formats Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML) 23 Timeliness 24 Geographic Extent		*						
<ul><li>23 Timeliness</li><li>24 Geographic Extent</li></ul>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	80-90%					
24 Geographic Extent		1	Standardized geo-spatial formats (e.g. shapefile, geotiff or KML)					
25 Existing standards								
	25	Existing standards						

[1] Impact quantification scores: 4 – Critical/ enabling; 3 – Significant/ competitive advantage; 2 – Important but non-essential; 1 – Nice to have; 0 – No impact, need satisfied with existing technology

## Content by label

There is no content with the specified labels