

OTM-009: Determine historical ground movement for pipeline routing

Determine historical ground movement for pipeline routing

Challenge

	Challenge ID	OTM:009				
1	Title	Determine historical ground movement for pipeline routing				
2	Theme ID	ON 3.2: Subsidence monitoring - Infrastructure monitoring				
3	Originator of Challenge	Onshore: OTM				
4	Challenge Reviewer / initiator	BP, Statoil, Petronas				
	General description	Overview of Challenge				
5	What is the nature of the challenge? (What is not adequately addressed at present?)	Pipeline route planning needs to accommodate ground subsidence / movement considerations. Two phases: historical and ongoing. Historical movement can infer future movement patterns. Identifying these can enable alternative routes or mitigation measures can be put in place.				
6	Thematic information requirements	1. Obtain detailed topographic information, 13. Monitor ground movement,				
7	Nature of the challenge - What effect does this challenge have on operations?	Influencing infrastructure planning				
8	What do you currently do to address this challenge?/ How is this challenge conventionally addressed?	Optical imagery is used				
9	What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?	Historic ground movement data could indicate potential future trends. This could be imagery based.				
10	What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?	EO could be a useful complimentary technology				
	Challenge classification					
11	Lifecycle stage	Pre license	Exp.	Dev.	Prod.	Decom.
	Score from impact quantification [1]	3	1	1	0	0
12	Climate classification	NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC				
13	Geographic context/restrictions	Generic onshore (Unspecified)				
14	Topographic classification / Offshore classification	Generic onshore (Unspecified)				
15	Seasonal variations	Any season				
16	Impact Area	Infrastructure planning				
17	Technology Urgency (How quickly does the user need the solution)	Immediately (0-2 years)				
	Information requirements					
18	Update frequency	One off historic				
19	Data Currently used					
20	Spatial resolution					
21	Thematic accuracy					
22	Example formats	GIS Shape file				
23	Timeliness	Reference data - timeliness not important				
24	Geographic Extent	Development area only				
25	Existing standards	No industry standards. TRE have their own internal INSAR standards				

[1] Impact quantification scores: 4 – Critical/ enabling; 3 – Significant/ competitive advantage; 2 – Important but non-essential; 1 – Nice to have; 0 – No impact, need satisfied with existing technology

Relevant products

Content by label

There is no content with the specified labels

