OTM-002: Tracking fluid migration in the subsurface

Tracking fluid migration in the subsurface

Challenge

	Challenge ID	OTM:002
1	Title	Tracking fluid migration in the subsurface
2	Theme ID	ON 3.3: Subsidence monitoring - Reservoir management
3	Originator of Challenge	Onshore: OTM
4	Challenge Reviewer / initiator	PEMEX, Statoil, Exxon
	General description	Overview of Challenge
5	What is the nature of the challenge? (What is not adequately addressed at present?)	It is often challenging to track injected fluids such as those used for EOR/IOR. Data that can give information to identify where fluid migration has gone to can be very valuable.
6	Thematic information requirements	1. Obtain detailed topographic information, 13. Monitor ground movement,
7	Nature of the challenge - What effect does this challenge have on operations?	Costs related to the loss of injection fluids in the reservoir can be large.
8	What do you currently do to address this challenge?/ How is this challenge conventionally addressed?	subsurface sensors, including acoustic, electrical and gravity sensors can be used
9	What kind of solution do you envisage could address this challenge?	Ground movement satellite imagery could indicate sub-surface pressure build up due to injected fluid migration from observed surface movement
10	What is your view on the capability of technology to meet this need? – are you currently using EO tech? If not, why not?	EO could be a useful complimentary technology
	Challenge classification	
11	Lifecycle stage	Pre license Exp. Dev. Prod. Decom.
	Score from impact quantification [1]	0 0 1 3 1
12	Climate classification	NOT CLIMATE SPECIFIC
13	Geographic context/restrictions	Generic onshore (Unspecified)
14	Topographic classification / Offshore classification	Generic onshore (Unspecified)
15	Seasonal variations	Any season
16	Impact Area	Operational cost reduction
17	Technology Urgency	Immediately (0-2 years)
	(How quickly does the user need the solution)	
	Information requirements	
18	Update frequency	daily / weekly /annually (application dependent)
19	Data Currently used	Downhole tools
20	Spatial resolution	Downhole tools
21	Thematic accuracy	
22	Example formats	GIS Shape file
23	Timeliness	Within a month
24	Geographic Extent	Reservoir footprint
25	Existing standards	No industry standards. TRE have their own internal INSAR standards

[1] Impact quantification scores: 4 – Critical/ enabling; 3 – Significant/ competitive advantage; 2 – Important but non-essential; 1 – Nice to have; 0 – No impact, need satisfied with existing technology

Relevant Products

Content by label

There is no content with the specified labels